

COUNCIL

ROL-A-13161

SECRET CONTROL
US OFFICIALS ONLY

Chief, EE

Chief of Base, Pullach

Operational/CART/LATIMPROVE

UJ-DROLLENT # 15

KAPOK

DECLASSIFIED

21 MAR 1955

REF: ROLA-12642

1. Transmitted herewith are three KIPFER memos on the latest occurrences in UJ-DROLLENT # 15. They are #11678, #11679 and #11681.

2. Memo #11678 deals with the settlement of G LUKERS' bigamy affair. On the 17th of February, 1955, G LUKERS appeared before the court in Duisburg to receive judgment on his bigamy charge. At this time he was awarded ten months' imprisonment. However, the court took cognizance of the four months which G LUKERS had already served in Vienna and reduced the sentence by that amount. Additionally, the remainder of the imprisonment term was reduced to probation. G LUKERS, however, is to pay court costs as well as forty RM a month for support of his younger son by his first wife. G LUKERS presently plans to institute divorce proceedings against his first wife, provided that he can make arrangements with her to share the cost of a hearing. G LUKERS returned to Munich on the 18th of February after spending a night with his brother-in-law, ROOGENDORF, in Cologne.

3. The second memo, #11679, informs us of the letter which G LUKERS sent to his KIPFER case officer, Peter III, to inform him of the fact that G LUKERS required additional financial support in order to appear at the meeting scheduled for 26 March. This letter was written under the supervision of G LUKERS' present KIPFER case officer and was given to this case officer for mailing. The letter was mailed on the 26th of February by V-7868 in Munich.

4. The third memo, #11681, is a report by V-7868 of a conversation he had with G LUKERS. During this conversation V-7868 pretended not to have been properly instructed on the start of the complete affair between G LUKERS and V-8982, his initial KIPFER case officer. Under this guise V-7868 was able to learn the information as set forth in substance herein.

5. During the years following the war, ROOGENDORF, the brother-in-law of G LUKERS, occupied himself, among other things, with the project of assisting

Encls: As Stated Above

Distribution:

- 3 - EE, w/1 Cy en. 3 Atts. (DIRECT)
- 3 - COM, w/1 Cy en. 3 Atts.

17 March 1955

SECRET CONTROL
US OFFICIALS ONLY

126 11711

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2006

former SD personalities in covering their tracks and in acquiring grateful employment. (In this connection KURTZBACH notes that it is not known at this time whether he was doing so on someone's instructions or whether on his own initiative.) While engaged in this evasion there appeared in ROSENTHAL's home one day, an individual who had recently fled from France. This individual, a former SD colleague of ROSENTHAL, was named KAES, herein identified as the former 8663/KINT. KAES was introduced to a LUMERS who at that time, that is prior to his journey to Vienna, was living with the ROSENTHAL family. In accordance with his project, ROSENTHAL assisted KAES with money and also clothing.

b. The story continues that when a LUMERS was later asked by the Soviets in Vienna about his acquaintances and relatives in the RMR, he mentioned in addition to his relatives, ROSENTHAL, WILSON and KAUFMAN. His acquaintance with KAES. In this connection a LUMERS was instructed by the Soviets to attempt to re-establish his contact with KAES. When a LUMERS made his trip to the RMR in June of 1953, he acquainted ROSENTHAL with his instructions from the RMR as previously set forth. Since ROSENTHAL had no desire to create any difficulties for his former colleague, KAES, he decided that it would not do to inform any of the Allied powers of a LUMERS' present instructions. (It is noted that KAES had again been employed by the police and was no longer connected with KIPPER.) ROSENTHAL therefore decided to contact KAES, which he did, and explain the whole matter to him. Having learned the situation as it existed, KAES suggested that by all means he be left entirely out of the matter. He mentioned, however, that he had a former colleague, V-8982. On hearing the name, ROSENTHAL inquired about this individual's personnel file (ROSENTHAL). It was thereupon decided that KAES, ROSENTHAL and V-8982 should discuss the problem among themselves. When this was done, it was agreed that KAES should be left out of the matter entirely and V-8982 should handle the entire affair.

c. Thereafter, there was another conference between a LUMERS, KAES and V-8982, during which V-8982 was brought up to date on the entire subject and KAES was promised that his name would be kept entirely clear of the whole affair. a LUMERS continued that when he explained to V-8982 that he had received instructions from the Soviets to investigate KAES, V-8982 told him to tell the RMR that upon investigation he (a LUMERS) had learned that KAES had died. This a LUMERS claims to have done. He says, furthermore, that his SDIS handler at that time received the information without comment. After receipt of this information on the part of V-7883, V-8982 was queried by Dienststelle 161. V-8982 then explained that in substance a LUMERS had told the truth; that he, V-8982, had not mentioned this previously inasmuch as he had promised KAES to say nothing and to keep him strictly out of the whole affair. Additionally, V-8982 said that he had handled the affair in this manner in that he was afraid that should he have so-krnowledged that he had received this contact through KAES, rather than on his own initiative, he might be considered as not being professionally competent from a CAVE point of view and would, therefore, have been discharged from his duties, or at least transferred to some other line of work.

5. a KURTZBACH concludes this report with the note that it is planned in the immediate future to conduct an interrogation of V-8982 in order to learn

SECRET CONTROL
US OFFICIALS ONLY

NSA-13161
Page 3

exactly what the situation is. Also, in accordance with the ZIPPER memo, they expect as a result of the interrogation of V-2702 to be able to decide what further steps to take in the whole affair.

6. In a conference on 11 March 1955 at which ZIPPER Headquarters personnel C KLAUSNER, C ROSENKRANTZ and C KUTENACK and PCB representatives Gordon R. Winfield and undersigned took part, it was decided that an interrogation of C LINDBERGH as well as V-2702 must be conducted prior to C LINDBERGH's next appointed meeting on the 25th of March. C KLAUSNER and company are to have a conference with Hiestersalle 161 leaders early next week at which time the projected interrogations will be arranged.

7. PCB is of the opinion that under proper interrogation C LINDBERGH will break and divulge the truth of what actually has been going on. It is believed further that the information gained from this interrogation will, if C LINDBERGH agrees, make possible a continuation of this affair; in this case with the result of useful information to our side rather than to the opposition. What is to be done with V-2702 cannot be adjudged until the facts of the case are known.

Approved by:

[]

[]

SECRET CONTROL
US OFFICIALS ONLY

[]

[]